Is the Investment Model Still Broken?

2018 marked the 10 year anniversary of the Great Recession, a period where many thought risk-on markets would not prove to be additive to portfolios in the foreseeable future. 2018 also marked the 10 year anniversary of The Optimal Service Group's whitepaper: *Don't Lose Faith, Seize Opportunities*, a piece describing the importance of asset allocation within surplus portfolios. In 2018 and the years leading up to it, we have seen similarities between those periods and the year 2008 and its surrounding years. The same statements many made coming out of 2008 such as "asset allocation doesn't work;" "let's completely revamp our investment approach;" and "we should just invest in U.S. Treasuries," were the same phrases we addressed coming out of 2018.

Increased market volatility has again reared its head to a point where the fundamentals of modern portfolio theory have been questioned. We submit to you that the case for asset allocation and diversification amongst a multitude of asset classes has never been more prevalent. In 2018 we saw surplus values negatively impacted for many investors by approximately 10-15% losses in long only global equity positions¹. We even saw core bond allocations produce muted to negative total returns, a happenstance one would not expect in a market environment where risk-on assets took a significant hit.

So the question at the forefront of many investors' minds today: What company wouldn't be discouraged with investments after a year like 2018? The immediate answer is that many companies who remained disciplined after 2008, reaped the benefits of having a portfolio with allocations to multiple asset classes. Total return performance of global long only equity saw annualized returns over 9% for the 10-year period after January 2009¹. Our answer now turns into a reciprocating question: So what about 10 years from now? Research shows that history may not always repeat itself, but it does rhyme.

Balancing Underwriting and Investments

In 2018, many insurance companies had solid underwriting results that were able to aid in offsetting the losses suffered in investment portfolios. Ironically, the story was inverse for 2017, as investment portfolios saw historical highs and underwriting results were subpar at best. To optimize enterprise level performance, it is pertinent the attention given to investment portfolios aligns with that given to the underwriting operations. It is not often that we see both components running on the same cylinders, and when risk is being taken on one side, it is usually wise to avoid taking on as much risk on the other side. We believe this balance is critical to both the near- and long-term success of an insurance company's enterprise level operation. Investment results will likely revert back to make a positive contribution again and it is essential that an appropriate investment strategy (i.e. asset allocation) is in place to take advantage when they do. It may be time to consider tactical (or shorter term) shifts within your company's investment portfolios, but not abandoning your entire investment strategy. Be selective as to where you want to take risks and which types of investments are most suitable to your respective needs.

Setting the Stage for Asset Allocation

Insurance companies have to consider many operational and business factors before developing an appropriate asset allocation strategy. In contrast to foundations, endowments or pension plans where spending needs, distributions and longer term liabilities are at the forefront of asset allocation development, insurance company portfolio investments have shorter investment time horizons and are driven by additional risk-based factors. The first step in any investment process begins with establishing clear and concise objectives including but not limited to capital preservation, minimizing tax drag, enterprise cost cutting, recognizing and adhering to regulatory changes, growing surplus and/or maximizing after-tax income. Once these objectives have been set, an assessment of enterprise level risk should be conducted. Some companies like to review peer comparisons to see how other companies are prioritizing their risks. Finally, dynamic financial analysis, or DFA, is essentially a method used to provide deeper insight into enterprise risks and potential rewards than analyzing key ratios and scenario testing. DFA models allow for a high degree of detail including but not limited to analysis of reinsurance programs, modelling of catastrophic events and/or dependencies of random events. Once these three stages are complete, the development of asset allocation can begin.

Investment and insurance products:

NOT FDIC-Insured	NO Bank Guarantee	MAY Lose Value

The Importance of Asset Allocation

As a refresher, asset allocation is a method for determining the most appropriate mix of investments (i.e. diversification) that is likely to produce the best expected return for a given level of risk. Diversification among multiple asset classes is likely to generate more consistent returns. As a result, what many successful investors understood in the late 1990s and what many acknowledge today is that reducing downside risk through diversification can help produce strong results (with lower volatility) over time-as markets cycle through the emotions of fear and greed.

Insurance companies are the ideal entities to understand the benefits of diversification within asset allocation, with the most notable one being the potential to mitigate unanticipated risk. Insurance companies are in the business of risk management. The pooling process employed by insurance companies diversifies the risk from any one insured loss. The same applies to the pooling of different asset classes. Any one asset class may produce volatile returns. Combining different asset classes allows for the potential for more consistent returns.

10 years ago when conducting our analysis of the insurance space, we found that many insurance companies did not pay much attention to diversification because they did not believe the concept would benefit their investment portfolios. Today, even in a rising interest rate, increased merger and acquisition, and potentially lower for longer global equity return environment, we still see many insurance companies maintaining an asset allocation consisting of three asset classes or less. Doing nothing to diversify your investments, in our opinion, could stall your company's growth and positioning in an industry that has evolved through intensified competition and consolidation, and will continue to change.

Current Capital Market Assumptions and Opportunities

As a bull market charges ahead, some investors forget about the times when the bull was not raging. Recent memory could suggest that the equity market should continue increasing. Consequently, such investors continue to purchase assets at high prices; then suddenly, the market drops, as we saw in 2018. Unprepared investors may then wonder how they missed the peak. Investors experience a similar situation in down-trending markets-assuming that the market will continue to decline, when it may be reaching a bottom. Instead of considering a long-term view in which the market fluctuates, investors can behave as though current trends will not change. Before getting into specific types of opportunities, we believe it is critical to have thoughtful capital market assumptions (CMAs) since these are a key input of the DFA model and the ultimate asset allocation.

Over the past 10 years we have seen a relatively consistent upward trend within risk-on capital markets, until the end of 2018. The decade-long bull market was tested amid rising interest rates, geopolitical tensions and the concern that the global economic expansion may be running out of steam. Going forward, over the long-term, we see higher volatility in the capital markets and lower-than-historical total returns in the fixed income markets as well as the equity markets. As we believe interest rates will be on a gradual rise, bond prices will likely be negatively impacted; however, higher yields will likely offset some price declines. We also expect to see an improving international developed markets growth, with emerging market growth leveling off. With those themes as a backdrop, we believe greater importance will be placed on alternative investments (i.e. private equity and debt) in order to help reduce traditional asset-class risk.

Opportunities vary across corporate investors. Insurance companies that are income-focused are starting to see slightly higher yields on the government –backed paper, especially on the shorter end of the curve. However, those focused on total return have seen heightened fluctuations in absolute performance and have begun to explore opportunities such as short duration high yield strategies. With the U.S. 10 year treasury duration currently over 8.5 years, a yield outlook between 3.00 and 3.50 and a rising interest rate environment, we currently see opportunities for both income and total return in mandates that are investing toward the shorter end of the yield curve and mandates that implement alternative investments, particularly private debt and equity hedge strategies. We believe such an approach is prudent in order take advantage of the current opportunities, but strongly recommend detailed research and due diligence on the investment managers and their capabilities before proceeding. A knowledgeable and experienced debt and alternative research team is necessary indeed.

Summary

Let's face it, for investment executives and consultants who believe in an investment model that utilizes effective asset allocation, diversification means always having to say you're sorry. However, given the unpredictable nature of capital markets and insurance companies' high priority of reducing enterprise level risk, the investment model still remains unbroken. We believe as we head into a future with heightened volatility, lower returns and rising interest rates, asset allocation is more important now than it was 10 years ago. ¹ Morningstar Direct. MSCI All Country World Index.

Written By: R. Bryce Lee, CFA, FRM, CAIA®, CIMA®, AIF® Managing Director- Investments Senior Institutional Consultant The Optimal Service Group of Wells Fargo Advisors bryce.lee@wfadvisors.com (757)220-1782

Asset allocation cannot eliminate the risk of fluctuating prices and uncertain returns. Asset allocation and diversification are investment methods used to help manage risk. They do not guarantee investment returns or eliminate risk of loss including in a declining market.

The report herein is not a complete analysis of every material fact in respect to any company, industry or security. The opinions expressed here reflect the judgment of the author as of the date of the report and are subject to change without notice. Any market prices are only indications of market values and are subject to change. The information contained herein is based on technical and/or fundamental market analysis and may be based on data obtained from recognizable statistical services, issuer reports or communications or other sources believed to be reliable. However, such information has not been verified by us, and we do not make any representations as to its accuracy or completeness. The material has been prepared or is distributed solely for information purposes and is not a solicitation or an offer to buy any security or instrument or to participate in any trading strategy. Additional information is available upon request. There is no guarantee that any forward-looking statements will be realized. Past performance is no guarantee of future results. All investing involves risk, including the possible loss of principal.

Investments in fixed-income securities are subject to market, interest rate, credit and other risks. Bond prices fluctuate inversely to changes in interest rates. Therefore, a general rise in interest rates can result in the decline in the bond's price. Credit risk is the risk that an issuer will default on payments of interest and/or principal. This risk is heightened in lower rated bonds. If sold prior to maturity, fixed income securities are subject to market risk. All fixed income investments may be worth less than their original cost upon redemption or maturity.

Alternative investments, such as hedge funds, private capital/private debt funds and private real estate funds, are not suitable for all investors and are only open to "accredited" or "qualified" investors within the meaning of the U.S. securities laws. They are speculative, highly illiquid, and are designed for long-term investment, and not as trading vehicles. There is no assurance that any investment strategy pursued by the Fund will be successful or that the fund will achieve its intended objective. Investments in these funds entail significant risks, volatility and capital loss including the loss of the entire amount invested. They are intended for qualified, financially sophisticated investors who can bear the risks associated with these investments. Investors should read the fund's offering documents prior to investing.

Investing in foreign securities presents certain risks not associated with domestic investments, such as currency fluctuation, political and economic instability, and different accounting standards. This may result in greater share price volatility.

MSCI All Country World Index captures large and mid-cap representations across 23 developed markets and 24 emerging markets countries. With 2,756 constituents, the index covers approximately 85% of the global investable equity opportunity set.

Wells Fargo Advisors is a trade name used by Wells Fargo Clearing Services, LLC, Member SIPC, a registered broker-dealer and non-bank affiliate of Wells Fargo & Company.

CAR#: 0219-02034, 0820-03430